1	STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE		
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION	
3			
4	October 6, 2008 - 1:32 p.m.		
5	Concord, New	-	
6		NHPUC OCT 27'08 PM 1:4E	
7	RE:	DE 08-113 PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE: Proposed Default Energy Service Rate Charge for 2009. (Prehearing conference)	
8			
9			
10			
11	PRESENT:	Chairman Thomas B. Getz, Presiding	
12		Commissioner Clifton C. Below	
13		Connie Fillion, Clerk	
14			
15	APPEARANCES:	Reptg. Public Service Co. of New Hampshire:	
16		Gerald M. Eaton, Esq.	
17		Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: Meredith Hatfield, Esq., Consumer Advocate	
18		Kenneth E. Traum, Asst. Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate	
19			
20		Reptg. PUC Staff: Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq.	
21			
22			
23	Cou	rt Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	
2.4			

1		
2		
3	INDEX	
4		PAGE NO.
5	STATEMENTS OF PRELIMINARY POSITION BY:	
6	Mr. Eaton	4
7	Ms. Hatfield	5
8	Ms. Amidon	5
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

{DE 08-113} [Prehearing conference] {10-06-08}

1	PROCEEDINGS	
2	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Good afternoon.	
3	We'll open the prehearing conference in docket DE 08-113.	
4	On September 12, 2008, Public Service Company of New	
5	Hampshire filed a petition to establish its Default Energy	
6	Service rate for bills rendered on or after January 1,	
7	2009. Based on preliminary data, it estimates a rate of	
8	10.51 cents per kilowatt-hour for service after January 1.	
9	And, this rate is 0.94 cents higher than the current rate	
10	of 9.5 cents per kilowatt-hour.	
11	I'll note for the record we have notice	
12	from the Consumer Advocate that they will be participating	
13	in this proceeding. We have the affidavit of publication	
14	from PSNH. And, there is also a Petition to Intervene	
15	that was filed today in this proceeding by Freedom Energy	
16	and Halifax.	
17	So, let's turn to a statement well,	
18	appearances please.	
19	MR. EATON: For Public Service Company	
20	of New Hampshire, my name is Gerald M. Eaton.	
21	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good afternoon.	
22	CMSR. BELOW: Good afternoon.	
23	MS. HATFIELD: Good morning excuse	

me, good afternoon, Commissioners. Meredith Hatfield,

24

4

1 from the Office of Consumer Advocate, on behalf of 2 residential ratepayers, and with me is Ken Traum. 3 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good afternoon. 4 CMSR. BELOW: Good afternoon. 5 MS. AMIDON: Good afternoon. Suzanne 6 Amidon, for Commission Staff, and with me today is Steve 7 Mullen, who is the Assistant Director for the Electric Division. 8 9 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good afternoon. Mr. 10 Eaton, an opportunity to briefly state your position and 11 respond whether you have any objection to the Petition to 12 Intervene. 13 MR. EATON: We have no objection to the 14 Petition to Intervene. This is a standard filing that we 15 do every year to set a rate for the calendar year 2009 for 16 our Energy Service docket. The difference is that the new 17 items that come in this year have to do with the cost of 18 compliance with Renewable Portfolio Standard and the 19 Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative. And, we will respond 20 to discovery and talk with the parties concerning a 21 procedural schedule. We began to do that in the break in 22 between this schedule, but we'll submit that to the 23 Commission. Thank you.

Thank you.

Ms.

CHAIRMAN GETZ:

24

the final hearing.

Hatfield.

MS. HATFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

The OCA does not have a position at this time on PSNH's

Energy Service filing, but we will work with the Company

and Staff during the discovery process for preparation of

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Ms. Amidon.

MS. AMIDON: Thank you. Staff has prepared some preliminary inquiries to make of the Company with respect to the RPS and the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative costs, which are new costs that we'll be examining, along with the other areas that we normally review in purchased power and power supply. So, at this point, we have no position, but we'll be making a recommendation to the Commission somewhat later in the document.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Anything else to address this afternoon?

CMSR. BELOW: I have a question about the initial filing. If you look at Attachment RAB-1, Page 1, there's some references for various items that most of them refer to Attachment RAB-2, Page 2. When you go to RAB-2, Page 2, there are further references for various items to RAB-2, P3 or P6 or whatever. And, I presume the

6

"P3, "6", refers to "Page 6", "3", etcetera, is that 1 2 correct? 3 That's correct. MR. EATON: 4 CMSR. BELOW: Okay. And, I think, for 5 the revised filing, if you look back at RAB-1, I think, 6 for the revised filing, it would be helpful if, on Line 7 16, where you've got "ISO New England Ancillary Capacity and New Hampshire RPS and RGGI cost" all in one composite 8 9 item, I think it would be helpful to break those out 10 either on a similar schedule or supplemental schedule, 11 when I followed that item through, it's never broken out 12 by those components. 13 MR. EATON: Okay. 14 CMSR. BELOW: And, furthermore, I think 15 it would also be helpful, just as a shortcut in the 16 revised filing, if those components, sort of Lines 13 through 25, could be further broken out on a per 17 18 kilowatt-hour basis, like you've done at Line 33, where 19 you've taken the total, so you can see how each of these 20 components relate to the total of, in here, the estimated 21 10.51 forecasted Energy Service rate per kilowatt-hour. 22 I'd appreciate that. Thank you. 23 MR. EATON: We can definitely do that. 24 That's all. CMSR. BELOW:

CHAIRMAN GETZ: With respect to the Petitions to Intervene, we find that Freedom Logistics and Halifax American have demonstrated rights, duties, privileges or other interests that would be affected by this proceeding. And, noting there's no objection, we'll grant the Petitions to Intervene. And, with that, we will close the prehearing conference, await a recommendation on scheduling, and take the matter under advisement. Thank you. (Whereupon the prehearing conference ended at 1:38 p.m.)